| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/022,083 | ELLIOTT ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sudhanshu C. Pathak | 2611 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Sudhanshu C. Pathak</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 4 January 2007 | Time: afternoon | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | , |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Yes-101 | | |
| Claims discussed: Yes-14 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | • |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed amending the claim so as to avoid 101 issues. | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Al attende | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican | t/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |